UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	EU DIC DATE	CIPCT MANGED DIMENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
10/533,479	04/29/2005	Frank Karlsen	. BOUL 3501	4058
321 SENNIGER PO	7590 01/09/2008 OWERS		EXAMINER	
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			CLOW, LORI A	
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	7 00 00 0		1631	
		•	Vominio i miovi pi mi	OF WERV MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/533,479	KARLSEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ma</u>	av 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
'=	· <u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	•			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
·	Claim(s) 40-75 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{40-75}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		ov the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· · · · ·	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	oplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
			•			
Attachmen		 1				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: Shape of the fragmentation cell, as recited in claims 41, 42, and 55. Applicant is required to choose one of claims 41, 42, and 55 for examination on the merits.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 41, 42, and 55 read on Species A. Claim 69 reads on species B.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 40, 43-54, and 56-75.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In regard to Species A, the species listed do not include the same special technical feature, as the shape of a polygon, the shape of a pear and an asymmetrical shape about an axis are not the same.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1631

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Note to Applicant

Claims 1-39 have been cancelled. Claims 40-75 are currently pending. It is noted that claims dependent from claim 40 and other independent claims improperly depend from cancelled claims. Correction is requested.

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center Number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie Moran can be reached on (571) 272-0720.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of

Application/Control Number:

10/533,479

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

LORI A. CLOW, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 4, 2008 Art Unit 1631

Louis A. Clan